



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/581,753	10/24/2000	Joseph Wayne Forler	RCA88836	1172	
7590 07/19/2005		•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Joseph S Tripo	oli		BUI, KIEU	BUI, KIEU OANH T	
Thomson Multir	media Licensing Inc	•			
PO Box 5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Princeton, NJ 08540			2611		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/581,753	FORLER, JOSEPH WAYNE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KIEU-OANH T. BUI	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 De	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 December 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/581,753

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Appeal Brief

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-7, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rumreich (US Patent 5,995,160) in view of Kwoh et al. (US Patent 6,115,057).

Regarding claim 1, Rumreich discloses an apparatus (Fig. 1), comprising:

"a signal input for receiving a program signal associated with one of a plurality of signal channels, said signal input selecting one of said plurality of signal channels in response to a user input", i.e., RF in as a signal input for an apparatus of Figure 1 for receiving a program signal associated with a plurality of signal channels, see col. 4/line 65 to col. 5/line 40 as a tuner can select or choose a plurality of channels in response to a user input 125 via an IR receiver 122;

Art Unit: 2611

"a signal output for providing an output signal derived from said program signal", i.e., an output is provided to IF processor 130 for producing baseband video signals (Fig. 1, and col. 4/line 65 to col. 5/line 20);

"an auxiliary data decoder for detecting program related information included in each said program signal", i.e., an auxiliary data processor 115 is used for detecting program related information in each of the program signal (col. 5/lines 40-62); and

"a processor operatively connected to said signal input, said signal output and said auxiliary data decoder, wherein said processor is responsive to user selection of a first operating mode for controlling said output signal in a predetermined manner to reduce user access to said output signal for at least until said program related information is detected upon user selection of a new one of said plurality of signal channels and user selection of a second operating mode for providing user access to said output signals and prior to detection of said program related information", i.e., CPU 112 connected to Aux data processor 115, the input signal and the output signal (as shown in Fig. 1), and the processor is responsive to the user selection, detecting and reducing the user access, for instance, blocking some of the content that has its rating over a predetermined limit, and for providing the user access if the content is not over the predetermined limit prior to detecting of the related information (col. 6/lines 18-50 for blanking if the content is over the limit, and col. 12/lines 14-25 for unblanking if the content is within the limit).

Art Unit: 2611

Appplicants argue that Rumreich does not show the step of the user access is reduced to the output signal for at least until the program related information or the auxiliary data is detected, i.e., the default blocking mode (refer to the specifications, pages 5-6, the paragraph simply describes an automatic blocking feature that restrict or limit the user's access until a new selection is made for a second –different mode). In fact, Kwoh teaches an exact same technique as a default V blocking mode is used, and based on the default blocking mode with automatically blocking of undesirable or unsuitable contents –programs or scenes which referred to portions of programs- either audio and/or visual, the user's access is reduced or limited until a new detection for a new program is detected for a second operating mode (Kwoh, col. 9/lines 9-36 & col. 11/lines 13-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rumreich's system with a default blocking mode as taught by Kwoh as disclosed in order to control the display of output signals easily by restricting or reducing the user's access to the output signal until the detection of new user selection for a second mode as preferred.

As for claim 2, in further view of claim 1 above, Rumreich further discloses "comprising a second signal input for providing a second program signal from a second signal source, and a switch for operatively coupling one of said signal input and second signal input to said signal output, said output signal being derived from one of said respective program signals, wherein said processor controls said output signal in said predetermined manner when the user selects one of said signal inputs for at least until said program related information is detected", i.e., a second input signal is disclosed because an RF-in (input) can be from different sources such as from an antenna or a (video) cable and CV2 can be from a VCR and a video switch 142 under

control 125 (col. 5/lines 3-40).

the control of the processor 115 is selectively switching the corresponding input signals and provides appropriate program signals as the user selects signal inputs with the input interface

As for claim 3, in further view of claim 1, Rumreich discloses "wherein said program signal is a television signal" (col. 1/lines 34-51 for television signal containing video and audio program and auxiliary information; and col. 4/line 65 to col. 5/line 5 for video television signal is received at the input).

As for claim 6, in further view of claim 1, Rumreich discloses "wherein said predetermined manner of control comprises one of blanking the video signal, replacing the video signal with an On Screen Display message, muting the audio signal and disabling associated closed captions" (col. 1/line 52 to col. 2/line 3 for video is blanked and the audio is muted; col. 2/lines 20-44 for a variation of blanking including whether of blanking the auxiliary data (meaning) including the closed captions, and col. 6/lines 30-50, with OSD 117 for On Screen Display processor 117 for displaying messages on the television screen).

As for claim 7, in further view of claim 1, Rumreich discloses "wherein said processor is responsive to user selection of a second operating mode for controlling said output signal in said predetermined manner for at least until said program related information is detected upon user selection of a new one of a plurality of user designated signal channels of said plurality of signal channels", i.e., the processor is responsive to the user selection, detecting and reducing the user access, for instance, blocking some of the content that has its rating over a predetermined limit, and for providing the user access if the content is not over the predetermined limit prior to

Art Unit: 2611

detecting of the related information (col. 6/lines 18-50 for blanking if the content is over the limit, and col. 12/lines 14-25 for unblanking if the content is within the limit).

Regarding claim 11, Rumreich discloses "a method for selectively blanking a display comprising the steps of: selecting a change of channel to be displayed; blanking the display; tuning to the selected channel; determining whether a default blanking mode has been set; if a default blanking mode is not set, unblank the display, otherwise retain display blanking; determine whether authorization exists for displaying the selected channel, if authorization for displaying the selected channel exists, display the selected channel, otherwise blank the display" (see claim 1 above). Appplicants argue that Rumreich does not show the step of the user access is reduced to the output signal for at least until the program related information or the auxiliary data is detected, i.e., the default blocking mode (refer to the specifications, pages 5-6, the paragraph simply describes an automatic blocking feature that restrict or limit the user's access until a new selection is made for a second -different mode). In fact, Kwoh teaches an exact same technique as a default V blocking mode is used, and based on the default blocking mode with automatically blocking of undesirable or unsuitable contents -programs or scenes which referred to portions of programs- either audio and/or visual, the user's access is reduced or limited until a new detection for a new program is detected for a second operating mode (Kwoh, col. 9/lines 9-36 & col. 11/lines 13-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rumreich's system with a default blocking mode as taught by Kwoh as disclosed in order to control the display of output signals easily by restricting or reducing the user's access to the output signal until the detection of new user selection for a second mode as preferred.

5. Claims 4-5 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rumreich and Kwoh as disclosed in claim 1 above and in further view of Collings (U.S. Patent No. 5,828,402).

Regarding claim 4, in further view of claim 1, Rumreich and Kwoh do not disclose "wherein said program signal comprises a plurality of digital signal packets"; however, it is well known in the art that the program signal can be encoded and transmitted as digital signal packets over a transmission medium. In fact, in a same environment of selectively delivering programs to the viewer, Collings clearly teaches an exact same technique that the program signal can be encoded and transmitted as digital signal packets (Fig. 1, and col. 4/lines 10-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rumreich's system with an existing and known technique of transmitting program signal including auxiliary information as "digital signal packets" over a transmission medium. The motivation for doing this, which is well known in the art, for enhancing security by encoding the signals as digital data packets and discretely transmitting them over the medium either using Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) or Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) technique.

As for claim 5, in further view of claim 1, Rumreich does not address "wherein said program signal comprises a plurality of time-multiplexed digital signal packets" as claimed, however, the Examiner takes Official Notice that time-multiplexed digital signal packets is known in the art, as discussed earlier in claim 4 for TDMA and CDMA. It's simply a system choice whether to use a TDMA technique for transmitting digital data packets over the medium, so that one can realize "the program signal comprises a plurality of time-multiplexed digital signal packets".

Application/Control Number: 09/581,753

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rumreich's system with the use of TDMA in transmitting program signals in order to provide "the program signal comprises a plurality of time-multiplexed digital signal packets" as claimed. The motivation for doing this is enhancing security by encoding the signals as digital data packets and discretely transmitting them over the medium using a Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) encoding technique.

As for claim 8, in further view of claim 1, Rumreich does not discloses "wherein said processor is capable of providing an On Screen Display menu for allowing user selection of said first operating mode"; however, Collings offers an On Screen Display (OSD) menu for the viewer to select or choose whether an appropriate operating mode or not to view (see Figs. 5A, 5B & 5C). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the OSD with a menu for the viewer had a chance to modify or customize their operating modes based on preferred setting using the OSD menu, which also served as a motivation for doing this, as taught by Collings (col. 2/line 65 to col. 3/line 16).

As for claim 9, in further view of claim 8, Collings teaches "wherein said processor is capable of providing a restricted access On Screen Display menu for allowing user selection of said first operating mode" (see Figs. 5E, 5F, 5G, 5H & 5I for restricting access that OSD menu is provided to the user for allowing user selection).

As for claim 10, in further view of claim 9, Collings further teaches "wherein access to said On Screen Display menu is password protected", i.e., a PIN is required as an access code for changing or accessing the main menu (col. 16/lines 50-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/581,753 Page 9

Art Unit: 2611

### Conclusion

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7291. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant, can be reached on (571) 272-7294.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kieu-Oanh Bui Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2611

KB

June 24, 2005